

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,816	12/12/2003	Steven A. Soper	Soper 0023.1	3513
	10/734,816 12/12/2003 Steven A. Soper	EXAMINER		
			LEE, SIN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	
			· ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/734,816	SOPER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sin J. Lee	1752		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
Period for	• •				
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2003.			
2a)∐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	-				
С	losed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositio	n of Claims	•			
4)× C	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.				
48	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.			
5) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□ C	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Applicatio	n Papers				
9)∏ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.		
	pplicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11)∐ TI	ne oath or declaration∘is≀objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 I I S C & 110/a	(d) or (f)		
	All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a))-(u) or (r).		
•	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority documents.		on No		
	. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		= ** ** **		
J	application from the International Bureau	•	ou in this reasonal stage		
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.		
		,			
Attachmon*/					
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/734,816 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a process of photoresist-free micropatterning on polymer surfaces, classified in class 430, subclass 270.1.
 - II. Claims 17-48, drawn to a polymer in which carboxyl or carbonyl groups are selectively bound (and in which different chemical functionality is bound to the carboxyl or carbonyl groups), classified in class 526, subclass 328.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the polymer of Invention II can be made by chemical reactions without the exposure to the actinic light as presently required in Invention I process.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/734,816

Art Unit: 1752

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1333. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (571) 272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752